United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,666	10/08/2003	Kevin I. Bertness	C382.12-0169 7255	
27367 7590 03/22/2007 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A. EXAMINER				
SUITE 1400		•	TSO, EDWARD H	
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/22/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 10/06)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/681,666	BERTNESS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	<u> </u>	Edward H. Tso	2838			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - if NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N	ovember 2006.	•			
		action is non-final.				
· 3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		esecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🛄	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌						
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
. црс		J)				

Application/Control Number: 10/681,666

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertness (US 6,316,914) in view of Vinci (US 5,672,964). The references do not explicitly disclose an integral torch/light to illuminate the area of testing. The testing device of Vinci teaches the use of a testing probe with an integral light to illuminate the work area (column 2, line 65 to column 3, line 2). Its purpose is to reduce the use of addition support for the light in tight places. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have integrated the light onto the testing probe as taught by Vinci for the purpose of directing light to the work area without the need for additional "help." Moreover, it also has been held that the constituent parts are so combined as to constitute a unitary whole. Webster's New International Dictionary (2nd Edition) defines "integral" as "(2) composed of constituent parts making a whole; composite; integrated." Therefore the use of a one piece construction instead of the two or more pieces would be merely a matter of obvious engineering choice. In re Larson, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1952); In re Fridolph, 50 CCPA 745, 89 F.2d 509, 135 USPQ 319.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,666

Art Unit: 2838

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom, can be reached at (571) 272-1989 on Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (571) 272-2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner (571) 272-2087 Page 3